

amendment and forward the bill because without the bill being advanced, of course, the litter bill will go out of existence and that will cause some chaos, I think. So I would like to see the bill advanced.

PRESIDENT: Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Mr. President and colleagues, I would support this motion to reconsider for the sole purpose of striking the Pappas amendment and taking it off of the litter bill, and then doing whatever we want with the litter bill, whether we advance it or not.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I'm opposed to this motion. Senator DeCamp knew I would be. They were talking about rolling the dice on the last vote, or the last amendment. It's kind of appropriate that we use gambling terms. They were willing to risk this bill to accomplish another purpose. I never have liked this litter bill. I didn't like it when Senator DeCamp first got the original one through. But I know that some people are so shaky about that video lottery amendment they are going to strike it and let this LB 127, in its original form, plague the land. Senator DeCamp knows, as I do, that in the same way that the illegal video lottery machines are going to continue to be out there and proliferate, whether you pass a law or not, the bookies are going to continue to operate whether you take my amendment or not. So really there is nothing that those who really want to play the video lotteries and operate them have lost. Only those who wanted to do these things legally and will be deterred from doing it illegally, because there is a punishment, have lost by failure to adopt a video lottery law. There are no bookies who are going to lose as a result of what the Legislature refused to do in adopting my amendment because they have always functioned outside of the law, but with the law winking at them and being complaisant with them. So we are back now to the issue, not of video lotteries, but of a litter bill which is, itself, litter. I think that that amendment that was added ought to stay on the bill, then if you want to vote to kill LB 127 I would join you in that. But I certainly, and I know I'm in a losing cause, but this is not the first time this session nor in years past, nor will it be the last that I'll be in